**ECE 2390/1390 Group Project**

**Group Name: Riddlers**

**Group Members:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Comments | Deductions |
| **Documentation** (15 points)   * Repository info readme are documented and kept updated (6pts) * Actual code is documented and easy to follow (6pts) * Any additional code is cited and proper licensing followed (3pts) | Your readMe file is really good. Your actual code documentation could be better. Some of the code is pretty good for line-by-line explanations/comments, but the bigger picture comments (e.g. this .py file contains all the subroutines related to ??) is missing. | You documented the use of other code, but you didn’t copy the licensing info into your repo (e/g pytersseract and OpenCV). -1 pt  -2pts. Missing documentation of individual files and functions  12/15 |
| **Use of GitHub** (15 points)   * Code commits are incremental (5pts) * Code tests and benchmarks are noted (5pts) * Work is represented from all members (5pts) | Your use of GitHub was really good (better than most of the groups). When working in a team, it is important to be more precise in the comments (beyond just “finished”).  The structure of the code is a bit messy and hard to follow (since everything is in the root directory including the test images) | 14/15 |
| **Project requirements** (10points)   * Did you incorporate learning from the course into your project? | Great use of lessons from the course | 10/10 |
| **Overall** (20 points)   * Challenge (10pts). Was the project appropriate difficulty? If the project was too easy, did the team add features? * Innovation (10pts). Did the team develop something novel? | Great job | 20/20 |

Total \_\_\_56/60\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_